

**you-try-it-06.xlsx**  
**Step-by-Step Guide**  
**ver. 7/26/2016**

**Abstract**

This document provides step-by-step instructions for the Excel workbook you-try-it-06.xlsx (saved from Excel 2010). The worksheets contain data for practice exercises keyed to Chapter 6 of:

*Basics of Analytical Chemistry and Chemical Equilibria* by Brian M. Tissue  
(John Wiley: New York, 2013).

<b>Worksheets in the workbook</b>	<b>page in this guide</b>
6.A Henderson-Hasselbalch .....	2
6.B polyprotic-acid .....	4
6.C polyprotic-buffer .....	6
6.D carbonic-acid .....	10

**General Advice**

Work out or outline the first exercise of each worksheet on paper. Compare your result to the answer given in the worksheet. Next try writing formulas to do the calculations. If you do not get the same answer, error check your work by writing formulas step-wise to check intermediate steps. Try to write formulas to be general so that you can copy them to use for multiple cases.

**Version History**

10/9/09	First posting.
7/26/2016	Revised formatting.

For updates visit the text support website:

**<http://www.achem.org>**

## 6.A Henderson-Hasselbalch

This worksheet contains a table with solutions containing a weak acid and its conjugate base. The exercise is to predict  $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation and compare to an exact calculation.

Concepts	Concept Synopsis
pH buffer	A solution containing a weak acid and its conjugate base will resist changes in pH.
Henderson-Hasselbalch equation	The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation provides a simple calculation to predict $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$ . It makes the approximation that the equilibrium concentrations of the weak acid and conjugate base are equal to their formal concentrations: $[\text{HA}] = c_{\text{HA}}$ and $[\text{A}^-] = c_{\text{A}^-}$ .

1. Use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to predict the  $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  for each buffer solution.

You may do your calculations assuming that  $K_a' = K_a$ .

The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation is usually written as:

$$p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = pK_a' + \log \frac{c_{\text{A}^-}}{c_{\text{HA}}}$$

It is simply a rearrangement of a  $K_a'$  expression:

$$K_a' = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{A}^-]}{[\text{HA}]}$$

where the approximations  $[\text{HA}] = c_{\text{HA}}$  and  $[\text{A}^-] = c_{\text{A}^-}$  have been included. Either of the above expressions may be used in a calculation.

The worksheet lists several weak acid/base buffers with  $c_{\text{HA}}$  and  $c_{\text{A}^-}$ . In these cases,  $c_{\text{HA}} = c_{\text{A}^-}$  to simplify the comparison of the Henderson-Hasselbalch and exact calculations. The exact calculation of  $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  is in column H. The formulas are taken from pH-calculation.xlsx, and do not need to change these formulas.

I will use the  $K_a'$  expression, so in cell F21 I type:

=VLOOKUP(C21,\$C\$14:\$D\$16,2,FALSE)

You may simply type the value of  $K_a'$  or  $pK_a'$  if you wish. I copy this formula down the column for the other cases. In cell G21 I type:

$$=-\text{LOG}(F21*D21/E21)$$

I copy this formula down the column and I am done. Note that the Henderson-Hasselbalch calculation gives the same result even when the total concentration of the weak acid/base pair changes by orders of magnitude. Most buffer solutions are made at relatively concentration to have sufficient buffer capacity for a given application. The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation works well for most common buffers. It is not useful to predict  $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  for any arbitrary weak acid/base pair. As an extreme example, predict the  $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  for an acetic acid/acetate buffer of  $c_{\text{HA}} = c_{\text{A}^-} = 0.1 \times 10^{-8}$  M. These concentrations are so low that the buffer system has little effect, and the solution pH will remain near 7.0.

2. Calculate the difference in  $p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  between the Henderson-Hasselbalch and exact results.  
 What factors affect the accuracy of the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation?

For case 1, I type: =H21-G21

I copy this formula down the column and I am done. All of the results are shown in the next figure.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
13			<b>weak acid</b>	<b><math>K_a</math></b>	<b><math>pK_a</math></b>				
14			acetic acid	1.75E-05	4.756				
15			chloroacetic acid	1.36E-03	2.867				
16			dichloroacetic acid	4.47E-02	1.35				
17									
18	<b>Table 6.A.1</b>								
19							<b>H-H Eqn</b>	<b>Exact</b>	<b>Difference</b>
20	<b>case</b>			<b><math>c_{\text{HA}}</math></b>	<b><math>c_{\text{A}^-}</math></b>	<b><math>K_a'</math></b>	<b><math>p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]</math></b>	<b><math>p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]</math></b>	<b><math>p[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]</math></b>
21	1	acetic acid	1.000E-01	1.000E-01	1.754E-05	4.76	4.756	0.000	
22	2	acetic acid	1.000E-02	1.000E-02	1.754E-05	4.76	4.758	0.002	
23	3	acetic acid	1.000E-03	1.000E-03	1.754E-05	4.76	4.771	0.015	
24	4	acetic acid	1.000E-04	1.000E-04	1.754E-05	4.76	4.873	0.117	
25	5	chloroacetic acid	1.000E-01	1.000E-01	1.358E-03	2.87	2.878	0.011	
26	6	chloroacetic acid	1.000E-02	1.000E-02	1.358E-03	2.87	2.962	0.095	
27	7	chloroacetic acid	1.000E-03	1.000E-03	1.358E-03	2.87	3.320	0.453	
28	8	chloroacetic acid	1.000E-04	1.000E-04	1.358E-03	2.87	4.056	1.189	
29	9	dichloroacetic acid	1.000E-01	1.000E-01	4.467E-02	1.35	1.583	0.233	
30	10	dichloroacetic acid	1.000E-02	1.000E-02	4.467E-02	1.35	2.142	0.792	
31	11	dichloroacetic acid	1.000E-03	1.000E-03	4.467E-02	1.35	3.019	1.669	
32	12	dichloroacetic acid	1.000E-04	1.000E-04	4.467E-02	1.35	4.002	2.652	

We see that the differences between the Henderson-Hasselbalch and the exact calculation are not great for any concentration of the acetic acid/acetate system. Only at  $c_{\text{HA}} = c_{\text{A}^-} = 0.1 \text{ mM}$  do the calculations differ by 0.1 pH units. For the stronger weak acids, the difference is larger. This difference results because the approximations that  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  can be neglected compared to  $c_{\text{HA}}$  and  $c_{\text{A}^-}$  is no longer valid.

## 6.B polyprotic-acid

This worksheet contains a table listing solutions containing polyprotic acids in various forms.

Concepts	Concept Synopsis
polyprotic acids	A species that can have more than one acidic proton.
$\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$ calculations for polyprotic acids	<p>There are four cases that we encounter with polyprotic acids. Solutions of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the fully protonated acid</li> <li>• the fully deprotonated base</li> <li>• a buffer solution (mixture of weak acid and conjugate base)</li> <li>• an amphiprotic species</li> </ul> <p>The calculation of <math>\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]</math> is different for each case.</p>

1. Predict the  $\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  for each solution.

You may do your calculations assuming that  $K_a' = K_a$ .

You may use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation for buffer solutions.

The first step to do these calculations is to identify the nature of the species. Below Table 6.B.1 I start a new table and identify the nature of each case. I set up the table for the different types of calculations as shown in the next figure. I enter concentrations in columns D and E as needed. In column F I enter or copy the  $K_a'$ ,  $K_b'$ , or  $\text{p}K_a'$  for acid, base, or buffer calculations, respectively. In column G I enter the second  $\text{p}K_a'$  needed for the amphiprotic cases.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
22		<b>Table 6.B.1</b>								
23		<b>case</b>								
24		1	0.01 M phthalic acid							
25		2	0.01 M sodium hydrogen phthalate							
26		3	0.01 M disodium phthalate							
27		4	0.03 M phosphoric acid, 0.01 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate							
28		5	0.01 M disodium hydrogen phosphate							
29		6	0.01 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate, 0.005 M disodium hydrogen phosphate							
30										
31										
32						$K_a', K_b', \text{ or}$				
33		<b>case</b>	<b>calc. type</b>	$c_{HA}$	$c_{A^-}$	$pK_{a1}'$	$pK_{a2}'$	$[H_3O^+]$	$[OH^-]$	$p[H_3O^+]$
34		1	weak acid	0.01		0.001122				
35		2	amphiprotic			2.950	5.408			
36		3	weak base		0.01	2.584E-09				
37		4	buffer	0.03	0.01	2.148				
38		5	amphiprotic			7.198	12.32			
39		6	buffer	0.01	0.005	12.32				

For example for case 1, a solution of phthalic acid, I need the acid concentration and the  $K_a'$ . In cell D34 I type 0.01 and in F34 I type:

=H14

Similarly for case 2, I copy in cells F35 and G35:

=I14 and =I15

Continuing with case 1, in cell H34 I type a formula for the quadratic equation (see worksheet '5.5 equilibrium-calculation' or you-try-it05guide.pdf for an example):

=(-F34+SQRT(F34^2+4\*D34\*F34))/2

The last step is to take the  $-\log$ . In cell J34 I type:

=-log (H34)

Case 3 is a similar calculation, except solving for  $[OH^-]$  using  $K_b'$ . The last step in cell J36 is:

=14+LOG(I36)

Cases 2 and 5 are amphiprotic species. This calculation is  $0.5(pK_{a1}' + pK_{a2}')$ , i.e., the average of the  $pK_a'$  values that bracket the amphiprotic species. For case 2 the formula that I enter in cell J35 is:

=0.5\*(F35+G35)

Note that there is no dependence on concentration. As with the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, we must use some judgement to evaluate if a result makes sense. A very low concentration of an amphiprotic species will not produce the predicted  $p[H_3O^+]$  if  $[H_3O^+]$  is on the order of  $10^{-7}$  M.

Case 4 and 6 are buffer solutions so I will use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation. For case 4 I type in cell J37:

$$=F37+LOG(E37/D37)$$

The final results are shown in the next figure.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
31										
32						$K_a', K_b', \text{ or}$				
33		case	calc. type	$c_{HA}$	$c_{A^-}$	$pK_a'$	$pK_{a2}'$	$[H_3O^+]$	$[OH^-]$	$p[H_3O^+]$
34		1	weak acid	0.01		0.001122		2.84E-03		2.55
35		2	amphiprotic			2.950	5.408			4.18
36		3	weak base		0.01	2.584E-09			5.08E-06	8.71
37		4	buffer	0.03	0.01	2.148				1.67
38		5	amphiprotic			7.198	12.32			9.76
39		6	buffer	0.01	0.005	12.32				12.02

### 6.C polyprotic-buffer

Table 6.C.1 on this worksheet contains a list of desired buffer solutions and the available starting material. Use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to determine the ratio of the base and acid necessary for a given pH. From this ratio you can determine the amount of the acid and base, and then give a procedure to obtain that ratio from the starting material.

Concepts	Concept Synopsis
Preparing buffer solutions	To obtain a conjugate weak acid/base pair: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• mix a weak acid and its conjugate base</li> <li>• add strong base to a weak acid</li> <li>• add strong acid to a weak base</li> </ul>

1. Give a procedure to prepare 1.0 L of the listed buffer from the starting material. You may use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation assuming that  $K_a' = K_a$ .

Repeating the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation from worksheet 6.A:

$$\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = \text{p}K_a' + \frac{c_{\text{A}^-}}{c_{\text{HA}}}$$

We select a suitable acid/base system so that the  $\text{p}K_a'$  is within one pH unit of the desired  $\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$ . Inserting the desired  $\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  and the  $\text{p}K_a'$  of the buffer in the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, we can calculate the necessary ratio of the base to acid.

$$\frac{c_{\text{A}^-}}{c_{\text{HA}}} = 10^{(\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] - \text{p}K_a')}$$

This ratio still has two unknowns, but we also know the total concentration of the acid and base:

$$c_{\text{HA}} + c_{\text{A}^-} = c_{\text{total}}$$

We can use these two equations to solve for both  $c_{\text{HA}}$  and  $c_{\text{A}^-}$ . Illustrating with case 1, we want a buffer at  $\text{pH} = 3.0$ . The suitable phosphate forms are  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , which has  $\text{p}K_a' = 2.15$ .

$$\frac{c_{\text{A}^-}}{c_{\text{HA}}} = 10^{(3.0-2.15)} = 7.08$$

Since we also know:

$$c_{\text{HA}} + c_{\text{A}^-} = 0.10 \text{ M}$$

We can combine these two equations to solve:

$$c_{\text{HA}} + 7.08c_{\text{HA}} = 0.10 \text{ M}$$

$$c_{\text{HA}} = 0.0124 \text{ M}$$

Subtracting this result from the total gives:

$$c_{\text{A}^-} = 0.10 \text{ M} - 0.0124 \text{ M} = 0.0876 \text{ M}$$

Given that we were starting with 0.10 mol of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  (0.5 L of 0.2 M  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$ ), the procedure to prepare the  $\text{pH}=3.0$  buffer is to add 0.0124 mol of strong acid. For 0.5 M HCl:

$$V = 0.0124 \text{ mol}/0.5 \text{ M} = 0.0248 \text{ L or } 24.8 \text{ mL}$$

For Excel I begin a new table and copy the desired  $p[H_3O^+]$  and  $pK_a'$  for each case. For case 1 these are in cells C29 and D29, respectively. I type in cell E29 the rearranged Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to find the base to acid ratio:

$$=10^{(C29-D29)}$$

For the total phosphate concentration being in cell F21, the concentration of the acid in cell F29 is given by:

$$=F21/(1+E29)$$

Subtracting the acid concentration from the total gives the base concentration in cell G29:

$$=F21-F29$$

Highlight cells E29:G29 and copying down for the other cases results in the next figure.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
12					<b>formula</b>	<b>f.w. (g/mol)</b>	<b><math>K_a</math></b>	<b><math>pK_a</math></b>
13			phosphoric acid		H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	98.00	7.11E-03	2.148
14			sodium dihydrogen phosphate		NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	119.98	6.34E-08	7.198
15			disodium hydrogen phosphate		Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	141.96	4.79E-13	12.32
16			trisodium phosphate		Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	163.94		
17								
18			<b>Table 6.C.1</b>					
19						<b>total</b>	<b>desired</b>	
20		<b>case</b>	<b>starting material</b>			$c_{\text{phosphate}}$	$p[H_3O^+]$	
21		1	0.5 L of 0.2 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate			0.10	3.0	
22		2	0.5 L of 0.2 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate			0.10	6.5	
23		3	trisodium phosphate			0.20	11.5	
24		4	trisodium phosphate			0.20	7.4	
25								
26								
27			<b>desired</b>					
28		<b>case</b>	$p[H_3O^+]$	$pK_a$	$c_{\text{base}}/c_{\text{acid}}$	$c_{\text{acid}}$	$c_{\text{base}}$	
29		1	3.0	2.15	7.11	0.012	0.088	
30		2	6.5	7.20	0.20	0.083	0.017	
31		3	11.5	12.32	0.15	0.174	0.026	
32		4	7.4	7.20	1.59	0.077	0.123	

At this point, the preparation of each buffer depends on the specific starting materials, and it is as easy to work out the procedure on paper as to write formulas. Since we have 1.0 L of solution, the concentrations are equal to the moles of each species that we need.

case	desired $p[H_3O^+]$	final mol $H_3PO_4$	final mol $H_2PO_4^-$	final mol $HPO_4^{2-}$	final mol $PO_4^{3-}$	
1	3.0	0.012	0.088			
2	6.5		0.083	0.017		
3	11.5			0.174	0.026	
4	7.4		0.077	0.123		

case	starting	reagent to add	mol reagent	
1	0.10 mol $H_2PO_4^-$	HCl	0.012	24 mL 0.5 M HCl
2	0.10 mol $H_2PO_4^-$	NaOH	0.017	34 mL 0.5 M NaOH
3	0.20 mol $PO_4^{3-}$	HCl	0.174	348 mL 0.5 M HCl
4	0.20 mol $PO_4^{3-}$	HCl	0.20 + 0.077	554 mL 0.5 M HCl

For cases 3 and 4 the weight of  $Na_3PO_4$  for 0.20 mol is:  $(0.20 \text{ mol})(163.94 \text{ g/mol}) = 32.79 \text{ g}$ .

The final procedures are:

case 1: Add 24 mL of 0.5 M HCl to 0.5 L of 0.2 M  $NaH_2PO_4$  and dilute to 1.0 L.

case 2: Add 34 mL of 0.5 M NaOH to 0.5 L of 0.2 M  $NaH_2PO_4$  and dilute to 1.0 L.

case 3: dissolve 32.79 g  $Na_3PO_4$  in 348 mL of 0.5 M HCl and dilute to 1.0 L.

case 4: dissolve 32.79 g  $Na_3PO_4$  in 554 mL of 0.5 M HCl and dilute to 1.0 L.

## 6.D carbonic-acid

This worksheet contains a table of solutions to calculate ionic strength. For two cases the activity coefficients are calculated for the reactants and products of the equilibrium reaction.

Concepts	Concept Synopsis
alpha fraction	All forms of a polyprotic acid will exist in solution, although some forms will be of negligible concentration at a given pH. The alpha fraction is the concentration of one form divided by the total concentration of the polyprotic species.

- For each solution calculate the fraction of total carbonate that exists as  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ . Use this fraction to calculate the equilibrium concentration,  $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ . Do the calculations using  $K_a$  and  $K_a'$  values.

The alpha fraction for the fully deprotonated form of a diprotic acid is:

$$\alpha_{\text{CO}_3} = \frac{K_{a1}'K_{a2}'}{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]^2 + [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]K_{a1}'K_{a2}' + K_{a1}'K_{a2}'}$$

To set up the calculation so that I will not need to revise my formula, I copy the information given in the worksheet to a new table as shown in the next figure.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
23									
24				total					
25		case		$C_{\text{carbonate}}$ (M)	$[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$	$K_{a1}'$	$K_{a2}'$	alpha	$[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$
26		1	pre-1800	2.2E-03	6.3E-09	4.45E-07	4.69E-11		
27		2	2000	2.2E-03	7.9E-09	4.45E-07	4.69E-11		
28		3	2100	2.2E-03	1.6E-08	4.45E-07	4.69E-11		
29		1	pre-1800	2.2E-03	6.3E-09	1.45E-06	1.10E-09		
30		2	2000	2.2E-03	7.9E-09	1.45E-06	1.10E-09		
31		3	2100	2.2E-03	1.6E-08	1.45E-06	1.10E-09		

Using case 1 as an example, the Excel formula that I typed in cell H26 for the alpha fraction calculation is:

$$=(F26*G26)/(E26^2+E26*F26+F26*G26)$$

Convert the listed p to  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$  using  $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = 10^{-\text{p}[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}$ .

Given that the definition of the alpha fraction is the equilibrium concentration,  $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ , divided by the total concentration of all forms of carbonate:

$$\alpha_{\text{CO}_3} = \frac{[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]}{c_{\text{carbonate}}}$$

$$[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] = (\alpha_{\text{CO}_3})(c_{\text{carbonate}})$$

For case 1 I type in cell I26:

$$=H26*D26$$

These formulas will be correct for all cases, so I copy them down columns H and I. The final results are:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
23									
24				total					
25		case		$c_{\text{carbonate}}$ (M)	$[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$	$K_{a1}'$	$K_{a2}'$	alpha	$[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$
26		1	pre-1800	2.2E-03	6.3E-09	4.45E-07	4.69E-11	7.3E-03	1.60E-05
27		2	2000	2.2E-03	7.9E-09	4.45E-07	4.69E-11	5.8E-03	1.27E-05
28		3	2100	2.2E-03	1.6E-08	4.45E-07	4.69E-11	2.8E-03	6.27E-06
29		1	pre-1800	2.2E-03	6.3E-09	1.45E-06	1.10E-09	1.5E-01	3.25E-04
30		2	2000	2.2E-03	7.9E-09	1.45E-06	1.10E-09	1.2E-01	2.66E-04
31		3	2100	2.2E-03	1.6E-08	1.45E-06	1.10E-09	6.4E-02	1.41E-04

Note that the results are quite sensitive to the  $K_a$  values. The difference in  $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$  using the measured  $K_a'$  values (rows 29-31) versus the thermodynamic  $K_a$  values (rows 26-28) is a factor of approximately 20. Using the measured  $K_a'$  values much more closely replicate measured values of  $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ , e.g.,  $152 \pm 24 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$  (K. K. Yates and R. B. Halley, "CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentration and pCO<sub>2</sub> thresholds for calcification and dissolution on the Molokai reef flat, Hawaii," *Biogeosciences*, **2006**, 3, 357–369).